Grading scale: 9 = 95% 8 = 90% 7 - 85% 6 = 80% 5 = 75% 4 = 70% 3 = 65% 2 = 60% 1 = 50%

derstands complexity of the estion; deals with all parts of question in depth	Contains a clear, developed thesis Limited or lack of understanding of complexity; may deal with one part of the question in some depth, or in a more general way	Thesis may be confused or underdeveloped Ignores complexity; may deal with one part of the question, or	Confusing or lacks a clear thesis related to the topic May simply paraphrase or restate the question
estion; deals with all parts of question in depth vides effective analysis of the	of complexity; may deal with one part of the question in some	with one part of the question, or	May simply paraphrase or restate the question
	arpai, or in a more general way	all elements of the question in a superficial way	1
estion; thoroughly addresses unter arguments	Provides analysis but may not devote equal weight to all parts of the questions; addresses counter arguments	Weak or inappropriate analysis; superficial; fails to address counter-arguments	Little of no analysis; describes rather than analyze
oports thesis with appropriate, stantial, relevant information / dence	Supports thesis with appropriate factual information / evidence but not substantial	Lacks supporting information, or information given is minimal but appropriate	Incompetent, inappropriate responses; off topic or minimal information
y contain insignificant errors	May contain minor errors that do not detract from overall essay	May contain major errors	Contains many major or minor errors
ell organized and well written ogical flow and obvious eement between introduction conclusion	Clearly organized and written, but not exceptional; logical flow but loose agreement between introduction and conclusion	Weak organization and writing; weak structure; understandable flow and loose agreement between introduction and conclusion	Disorganized and poorly written; incoherent; mismatched introduction and conclusion or missing introduction / conclusion
Vague statements or §	generalizations not supported by evice	dence Strong conclusion	Weak / No conclusion
Weak topic sentences	No "I" statements S	trong linking sentences W	eak / No linking sentences
y ee	contain insignificant errors organized and well written cital flow and obvious ement between introduction conclusion Vague statements or Weak topic sentences	counter arguments Supports thesis with appropriate, factual information / evidence but not substantial Contain insignificant errors May contain minor errors that do not detract from overall essay Clearly organized and written, but not exceptional; logical flow but loose agreement between introduction exception and conclusion Clearly organized and written, but not exceptional; logical flow but loose agreement between introduction and conclusion Vague statements or generalizations not supported by evidence but not substantial Clearly organized and written, but not exceptional; logical flow but loose agreement between introduction and conclusion	counter arguments Supports thesis with appropriate, tantial, relevant information / factual information / evidence but not substantial Contain insignificant errors May contain minor errors that do not detract from overall essay Organized and well written gical flow and obvious ement between introduction conclusion Counter arguments Lacks supporting information, or information given is minimal but appropriate May contain minor errors that do not detract from overall essay Weak organization and writing; weak structure; understandable flow and loose agreement between introduction and conclusion

Free Response Essay Rubric Name _	
-----------------------------------	--